Statistics of ECT Cases
(as of 1/12/2021)

As of 1 December 2021, the Secretariat is aware of 145 investment arbitration cases instituted under the Energy Charter Treaty (sometimes invoked together with a bilateral investment treaty).

Since parties to investment arbitration under Article 26 ECT are not obliged to notify the Secretariat of the existence or substance of their dispute, some awards (and even the existence of some proceedings) remain confidential. The Secretariat compiles information (which cannot be considered as exhaustive) from delegates and public sources (including specialised reporting services). The Secretariat assumes no responsibility for eventual errors or omissions in these data and welcomes any additional information or clarification on specific cases (at legalaffairs@encharter.org).
**Statistics of ECT Cases**
(as of 1/12/2021)

### Distribution of Arbitration Cases under the ECT by Energy Sources Involved: 145 cases*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fossil Fuels</th>
<th>Renewables</th>
<th>Nuclear</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In five of these cases, it has not been possible to identify particular energy sources; one case involves more than one form of energy sources.*

52 cases are still pending and in some of the cases, there is no publicly available information on the exact amounts claimed and/or awarded;

**Excluding tax gross-up and interest on damages claimed and awarded.**
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Number of Arbitration Cases under the ECT:
145 cases
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Intra-REIO Cases and Other Cases: 145 cases*

* Cases with mixed claimants are included in both categories. Therefore, the numbers indicated may be higher than the actual number of cases instituted in a given year.
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Respondents: 145 cases

Number of cases
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Nationality of Claimants: 145 cases*

* In case of double nationality of a claimant, both nationalities are taken into account;
A case may be instituted by more than one claimant.
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Type of Claimants: 145 cases*

- Large corporations (10)**
- Holdings (211)
- Banks (6)
- Investment funds (19)
- SMEs (294)
- Individual investors (58)

* A case may be instituted by more than one claimant.

** Listed on Platts Top 250 Global Energy Company Rankings (2020) and/or UNCTAD’s World’s Top 100 Non-financial MNEs Ranked by Foreign Assets (2018).
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Status of Arbitration Cases under the ECT:
145 cases

- Pending (51)
- Settled (10) of which (4) were embodied in an award
- Awards (73) of which (24) pending annulment proceedings
- Awards rendered (4) but investors renounced their right to collect damages (3) or pursue annulment actions (1)
- Discontinued (6)
- ICSID award annulled - resubmission proceeding pending (1)
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Outcome of Final Awards (81), including  
(4) Settlement Agreements Embodied in Awards

- No jurisdiction (11)
- No breach of the ECT (23)
- Alternative ECT claim dismissed (1)*
- Manifest lack of legal merit - Rule 41(5) ICSID Arbitration Rules (1)
- Breach of the ECT but no damages awarded (3)
- Breach of the ECT and damages awarded (36)
- Settlement agreement embodied in an award (4)
- Outcome is unknown (2)

* Having found the State liable under another international agreement, the tribunal dismissed an alternative claim under the ECT.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Description</th>
<th>Damages Claimed*</th>
<th>Damages Awarded*</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nykomb Synergetics Technology Holding AB v. Latvia (16 December 2003)</td>
<td>LVL 7,097,680 + specific performance</td>
<td>LVL 1,600,000 + specific performance</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic (29 March 2005)</td>
<td>USD 4,084,652</td>
<td>USD 1,130,859</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioannis Kardassopoulos v. Georgia (3 March 2010)</td>
<td>USD 15,850,137.901</td>
<td>USD 15.1 million</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energoalians Ltd. v. Moldova (8 July 2010)</td>
<td>MDL 243,577,971.11</td>
<td>MDL 195,547,212</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan Resources Inc., Khan Resources B.V., and Cauc Holding Company Ltd v. Mongolia (10 January 2011)</td>
<td>USD 326 million</td>
<td>USD 80 million</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remington Worldwide Limited v. Ukraine (28 April 2011)</td>
<td>USD 36 million</td>
<td>USD 4.5 million</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatolie Stati, Gabriel Stati, Ascom Group S.A. and Terra Raf Trans Trading Ltd. v. Kazakhstan (19 December 2013)</td>
<td>USD 2.6 billion</td>
<td>USD 497,685,101</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF International S.A. v. Hungary (4 December 2014)</td>
<td>estim. EUR 300 million</td>
<td>EUR 107 million</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aktau Petrol Ticaret A.Ş. v. Kazakhstan (13 November 2017)</td>
<td>USD 150 million</td>
<td>USD 22.7 million</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novenergia II - Energy &amp; Environment (SCA) SICAR v. Spain (15 February 2018)</td>
<td>EUR 61.3 million</td>
<td>EUR 53.3 million</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Claimant</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masdar Solar &amp; Wind Cooperatief U.A. v. Spain (16 May 2018)</td>
<td>EUR 260 million</td>
<td>EUR 64.5 million</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antin Infrastructure Services Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Antin Energia Termosolar B.V. v. Spain (15 June 2018)</td>
<td>EUR 238 million</td>
<td>EUR 101 million</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foresight Luxembourg Solar 1 S.à.r.l. and others v. Spain (14 November 2018)</td>
<td>EUR 50 million</td>
<td>EUR 39 million</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greentech Energy Systems A/S, NovEnergia II Energy &amp; Environment (SCA) SICAR, and NovEnergia II Italian Portfolio S.A. v. Italy (23 December 2018)</td>
<td>EUR 25.06 million</td>
<td>EUR 11.9 million</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEF Energia B.V. v. Italy (16 January 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 10.3 million</td>
<td>EUR 9.6 million</td>
<td>93.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9REN Holding S.à.r.l. v. Spain (31 May 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 52.2 million</td>
<td>EUR 41.76 million</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NextEra Energy Global Holdings B.V., NextEra Energy Spain Holdings B.V. v. Spain (31 May 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 521.4 million</td>
<td>EUR 290.6 million</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube Energy SCA and others v. Spain (15 July 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 74.1 million</td>
<td>EUR 33.7 million</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SolEs Badajoz GmbH v. Spain (31 July 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 82 million</td>
<td>EUR 40.5 million</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InfraRed Environmental Infrastructure GP Limited v. Spain (2 August 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 75.7 million</td>
<td>EUR 28.2 million</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OperaFund Eco-Invest SICAV PLC Schwab Holding v. Spain (6 September 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 42 million</td>
<td>USD 29.3 million</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RREEF Infrastructure (GP) Limited and RREEF Pan-European Infrastructure Two Lux S.à.r.l. v. Spain (11 December 2019)</td>
<td>EUR 441 million</td>
<td>EUR 59.6 million</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watkins Holdings S.à.r.l. and others v. Spain (21 January 2020)</td>
<td>EUR 123.9 million</td>
<td>EUR 77 million</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Claimed Amount</th>
<th>Awarded Amount**</th>
<th>Success Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The PV Investors v. Spain</strong> (28 February 2020)</td>
<td>EUR 1.16 billion</td>
<td>EUR 91.1 million</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydro Energy 1 S.à.r.l. and Hydroxana Sweden AB v. Spain</strong> (5 August 2020)</td>
<td>EUR 132.1 million</td>
<td>EUR 30.9 million</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESPF Beteiligungs GmbH, ESPF Nr. 2 Austria Beteiligungs GmbH and InfraClass Energie 5 GmbH &amp; Co. KG v. Italy</strong> (14 September 2020)</td>
<td>EUR 28.6 million</td>
<td>EUR 16 million</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RWE Innogy GmbH and RWE Innogy Aersa S.A.U. v. Spain</strong> (18 December 2020)</td>
<td>EUR 267.7 million</td>
<td>EUR 28 million</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BayWa r.e. Renewable Energy GmbH and BayWa r.e. Asset Holding GmbH v. Spain</strong> (25 January 2021)</td>
<td>EUR 61.9 million</td>
<td>EUR 22 million</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sun-Flower Olmeda GmbH &amp; Co KG and others v. Spain</strong> (22 June 2021)</td>
<td>EUR 69 million</td>
<td>EUR 47.3 million</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEAG GmbH v. Spain</strong> (17 August 2021)</td>
<td>EUR 79 million</td>
<td>EUR 27.7 million</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JGC Holdings Corporation v. Spain</strong> (9 November 2021)</td>
<td>EUR 161 million</td>
<td>EUR 23.5 million</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding tax gross-up and interest on damages claimed and awarded.
** According to the Ministry for Ecological Transition and Demographic Challenge of Spain, the investors have submitted a waiver under Royal Decree-Law 17/2019, renouncing their right to collect damages in exchange for a new incentive scheme.
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Breaches Alleged: 81 cases*

- 10(1). Stable, equitable, favourable and transparent conditions
- 10(1). Fair and equitable treatment
- 10(1). Most constant protection and security
- 10(1). Unreasonable or discriminatory measures
- 10(1). Treatment less favourable than that required by international law
- 10(1). Umbrella clause
- 10(7). National Treatment
- 10(7). Most-favoured-nation treatment
- 10(12). Effective means for assertion of claims and enforcement of rights
- 11. Key personnel
- 13. Expropriation
- 13. Direct expropriation
- 13. Indirect expropriation
- 14. Transfer of funds
- 22(1). Ensure a state enterprise conducts activities in a manner consistent with a Contracting Party’s obligations under Part III of the ECT
- 10(2)-(3). Discrimination

* The remaining 64 cases are not considered due to lack of public information.
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Breaches Found: 41 cases

- 64%: 10(1). Fair and equitable treatment
- 8%: 10(1). Stable, equitable, favourable and transparent conditions
- 8%: 10(1). Unreasonable or discriminatory measures
- 8%: 10(1). Umbrella clause
- 6%: 10(12). Effective means for assertion of claims and enforcement of rights
- 2%: 13. Expropriation
- 2%: 13. Direct expropriation
- 2%: 13. Indirect expropriation
- 2%: 13. Expropriation
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Allocation of Costs of Arbitration between Parties: 69 cases*

- Parties share costs in equal parts (25 cases)
- Loser pays (25 cases)
- Other, incl. relative success rate (19 cases)

Legal Costs: 69 cases*

- Each party bears its own legal costs (32 cases)
- Loser pays part of legal costs of winner (37 cases)

* Based on cases in which such information is publicly available.
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Procedural Rules Applied: 145 cases

- ICSID Rules, incl. Additional Facility (94)
- SCC Rules (29)
- UNCITRAL Rules, PCA-administered (16)
- UNCITRAL Rules, ad hoc (6)
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*In case of double nationality of an arbitrator, both nationalities are taken into account.*
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Arbitrators with Five or More Appointments

- Brigitte Stern: 14 Appointments as a Chair, 9 Appointments by Respondents
- Gary Born: 1 Chair, 9 Respondents
- Vaughan Lowe: 4 Chair, 4 Respondents, 1 Claimants
- Charles Poncet: 8 Chair, 4 Respondents
- Pierre-Marie Dupuy: 7 Chair, 1 Respondents
- Yves Fortier: 4 Chair, 4 Respondents
- John Beechey: 1 Chair, 6 Respondents
- Klaus Sachs: 2 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Albert Jan Van den Berg: 2 Chair, 2 Respondents
- David Haigh: 6 Chair, 6 Respondents
- Doak Bishop: 6 Chair, 6 Respondents
- Francisco Orrego Vicuña: 1 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Guido Tawil: 6 Chair, 6 Respondents
- Toby Landau: 1 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Bernard Hanotiau: 1 Chair, 3 Respondents
- Charles Brower: 5 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Christopher Thomas: 4 Chair, 1 Respondents
- Donald McRae: 3 Chair, 2 Respondents
- James Crawford: 4 Chair, 1 Respondents
- Jan Paulsson: 1 Chair, 3 Respondents
- Kaj Höber: 5 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Klaus Reichert: 3 Chair, 2 Respondents
- Laurence Boisson de Chazournes: 5 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Peter Rees: 5 Chair, 5 Respondents
- Stanimir Alexandrov: 4 Chair, 4 Respondents
- Thomas Johnson: 5 Chair, 5 Respondents
- V. V. Veecher: 2 Chair, 3 Respondents